

An Interesting Combinatorial Method in the Theory of Locally Finite Semigroups

T. C. Brown

Citation data: T.C. Brown, *An interesting combinatorial method in the theory of locally finite semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. **36** (1971), 285–289.

Abstract

Let X be a finite set, X^* the free semigroup (without identity) on X , let M be a finite semigroup, and let j be an epimorphism of X^* upon M . We give a simple proof of a combinatorial property of the triple (X, j, M) , and exploit this property to get very simple proofs for these two theorems: 1. If j is an epimorphism of the semigroup S upon the locally finite semigroup T such that $j^{-1}(e)$ is a locally finite subsemigroup of S for each idempotent element e of T , then S is locally finite. 2. Throughout 1, replace “locally finite” by “locally nilpotent”.

The method is simple enough, and yet powerful enough, to suggest its applicability in other contexts.

1 Introduction

Theorem 1 below was first proved by the author in [1] by a circuitous and laborious method. In the present paper it drops out easily from Lemma 2 below, as does Theorem 2, which is new. Lemma 2 was first discovered by J. Justin [3] as a generalization of Lemma 1, which is the author’s [2]. The proof given here, however, is new, and is conceptually quite transparent, though apparently non-trivial. Justin has used Lemma 2 in an alternative proof of his generalization of Van der Waerden’s theorem (on arithmetic

The symbol X^w denotes the set of sequences on X , regarded as “infinitely long words” in the alphabet X . If $x, y, z \in X^*$ and $s \in X^w$, then x, y , and z are each *factors* (x is a *left factor*) of the word xyz and of the sequence $xyzs$.

Let H be an infinite subset of X^* . We indicate now how to construct a sequence $S = a_1 a_2 \cdots \in X^w$ such that each left factor of s is a left factor of infinitely many of the words of H . Such a sequence is used several times in the proofs that follow, and is said to be constructed *in the regular way* from H .

We choose the a_i 's inductively. In view of the fact that H is infinite and X is finite, we choose a_1 to be an element of X which occurs infinitely often as the first letter in the words of H , and we denote by H_1 the (infinite) set of those words of H which have a_1 as the first letter. Thus a_1 is a left factor of infinitely many of the words of H . Now suppose that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in X$ have been chosen so that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is a left factor of each word in an infinite subset H_n of H . We choose a_{n+1} to be an element of X which occurs infinitely often as the $(n+1)$ st letter in the words of H_n , and denote by H_{n+1} the (infinite) set of those words of H_n which have a_{n+1} as the $(n+1)$ st letter. Thus $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n+1}$ is a left factor of infinitely many of the words of H .

3 Two Lemmas

Lemma 1. *Let $s = a_1 a_2 \cdots \in X^w$. Then there exist an element $x \in X$ and a fixed integer \bar{k} such that for any n there are integers $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n$ (these depend on n) with $x = a_{i_1} = a_{i_2} = \cdots = a_{i_n}$ and $i_{j+1} - i_j \leq \bar{k}$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|X|$, the cardinal of X . If $|X| = 1$, we are through. Assume the result for $|X| = k$, and suppose now that $|X| = k+1$, $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$. Let $s = a_1 a_2 \cdots \in X^w$. If x_{k+1} is not missing from arbitrarily long factors of s we are done, hence we may assume that there is an infinite set H of factors of s from which x_{k+1} is missing. Thus $H \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}^*$, and we construct a sequence $t = b_1 b_2 \cdots \in \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}^w$ from H in the regular way. By the induction hypothesis, there exist an element $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and an integer \bar{k} such that for any n there are integers $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n$ with $x = b_{i_1} = b_{i_2} = \cdots = b_{i_n}$ and $i_{j+1} - i_j \leq \bar{k}$.

Let $\bar{s} \in \bar{X}^w$, and define the homomorphism \bar{j} from \bar{X}^* into T by setting $\bar{j}(\bar{w}) = j(w)$ for $\bar{w} \in \bar{X}^*$. Applying Lemma 2, we obtain an idempotent $e \in T$ and a fixed integer \bar{k} such that for any n there are n consecutive factors $\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_n$ of \bar{s} with $e = \bar{j}(\bar{g}_1) = \bar{j}(\bar{g}_2) = \dots = \bar{j}(\bar{g}_n)$ and $|\bar{g}_j| \leq \bar{k}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. By the definition of \bar{j} , we have g_1, \dots, g_n all in $j^{-1}(e)$, which is locally finite by assumption. Since $|\bar{g}_j| \leq \bar{k}$ there are only finitely many possibilities for the elements g_1, \dots, g_n , hence the element $g_1 \cdots g_n$ always belongs to a certain fixed finite subsemigroup of $j^{-1}(e)$, no matter how large n is. Thus if n is taken sufficiently large, the factor $\bar{g}_1 \cdots \bar{g}_n$ of \bar{s} will be contractible. Thus the sequence \bar{s} is contractible. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. *Let j be an epimorphism of the semigroup S upon the locally nilpotent semigroup T such that $j^{-1}(e)$ is a locally nilpotent subsemigroup of S for each idempotent element e of T . Then S is locally nilpotent.*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2 is practically the same as the proof of Theorem 1. Here instead of showing that every sequence \bar{s} in \bar{X}^w (same notation as in the proof of Theorem 1) has a contractible factor, one shows that every sequence \bar{s} has a factor \bar{w} with $w = 0$. \square

References

- [1] T.C. Brown, *On locally finite semigroups (in russian)*, Ukraine Math. J. **20** (1968), 732–738.
- [2] _____, *On van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1969), 245.
- [3] J. Justin, *Généralisation du théorème de van der Waerden sur les semi-groupes répétitifs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **12** (1972), 357–367.
- [4] R. Rado, *Axiomatic treatment of rank in infinite sets*, Canad. J. Math. **1** (1949), 337–343.