Common Transversals for Three Partitions

T. C. Brown

Citation data: T.C. Brown, Common transversals for three partitions, Bogazici University J. 10 (1983), 47–49.

Abstract

This note contains some questions and a result concerning common transversals for partitions (and in particular for three partitions) of a finite set.

In a famous paper of 1935 [2], Philip Hall gave the first (and still the best!) necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a system of distinct representatives, or transversal, of a family of sets.

(A set *T* is a *transversal* of the family A = (A(1), ..., A(s)) if there is a bijection *f* from $\{1, ..., s\}$ onto *T* such that f(i) is an element of A(i), $1 \le i \le s$. Hall's Theorem, beautiful in its simplicity, states that if A = (A(1), ..., A(s)) is any family of *s* sets (not necessarily distinct), then a transversal for the family *A* exists if and only if the following condition holds: For each *k*, $1 \le k \le s$, the union of any *k* of the sets A(i) contains at least *k* elements.)

One of the most singular open questions in transversal theory [4] is mequestion of whether or not there exists a simple necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a common transversal for three families.

(If several families of sets are given, say A_1, \ldots, A_f

$$A(1) = (A(1))$$

Thus let M(s) be the $s \times s \times s$ cube of lattice points defined by $M(s) = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) : 0 \le a_1, a_2, a_3 \le s-1\}$, and let X be a subset of M(s). The *planes of* X are the 3s sets $X \cap \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) : a_i = j\}$, $1 \le i \le 3$, $0 \le j \le s-1$.

What is the maximum size f(s) of a subset X of M(s) such that each plane of X is non-empty and X does not contain any subset T meeting each plane of X in exactly one point?

Taking $X = M(s) \cap \{(x,0,0), (0, y, 0), (x, y, z) : x \neq 0, y \neq 0\}$ shows that $2(s-1) + s(s-1)^2 \leq f(s)$. It is also known ([3]) that $f(s) \leq s^3 - s^2$. Probably one can show that $f(s) = s^3 - (2 + o(1))s^2$ as $s \to ¥$. Best of all would be to find the exact value of f(s)! (the author is inclined to believe that the construction above is "best possible", so that $f(s) = 2(s-1) + (s-1)^2 s$.)

It is natural to generalize this problem to the *t*-dimensional "cube" $M(s,t) = \{(a_1,...,a_t) : 0 \le a_i \le s-1, 1 \le i \le t\}$. When X is a subset of M(s,t), the *hyperplanes of* X are the sets $X \cap \{(a_1,...,a_t) : a_i = j\}$, $1 \le i \le t$, $0 \le j \le s-1$. What is the maximum size f(s,t) of a subset X of M(s,t) such that each hyperplane of X is non-empty and X does not contain any subset T meeting each hyperplane of X in exactly one point? Is it possible that the computation of f(s,t) for all s, t is an NP-complete problem?

Setting s = t, and generalizing the construction above which gives $2(s-1) + (s-1)^2 s \le f(s)$ (see [1] for details) leads to the following *conjecture*. For every e > 0 there exists n(e) such that if $s \ge n(e)$ and X is any subset of M(s,s) with each hyperplane of X containing at least $(1/e+e)s^{-1}$ points, then X contains a subset T meeting each hyperplane of X in exactly one point (where e = 2.718...).

Other related questions can be found in [1] and [3].

References

- [1] T.C. Brown, Common transversals for partitions of a finite set, Discrete Math. 51 (1984), 119–124.
- [2] Philip Hall, On representatives of subsets, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), 26–30.
- [3] J.Q. Longyear, Common transversals in partitioning families, Discrete Math. 17 (1977), 327–329.
- [4] L. Mirsky, *Transversal theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.