

Eri Tanaka
Osaka University

Masako Maeda
Kyushu University

Yoichi Miyamoto
Osaka University

On negative island effects and exhaustification with adjunct *nani-o* in Japanese

Introduction It has been acknowledged that accusative *wh*-phrases in Japanese can be interpreted as reason adjuncts. This paper offers new empirical data to argue against syntactic explanations for the (lack of) negative island effects observed in accusative *wh*-adjuncts and proposes that covert exhaustification operator associated with this construction is responsible for it.

Apparent Negative Island Effects (1a) exemplifies adjunct accusative *wh*-phrases (wh_{accR} , hereafter) in Japanese, where the accusative marked *wh*-element is not an argument of the predicate (e.g. *sawagu* 'clamour'). The wh_{accR} phrases have been shown to exhibit similar syntactic behaviors to the ones of usual reason adjunct *wh*-phrase, *naze* 'why', but these two differ in that only the former is susceptible to negative island effects, as in (1a)-(1b) (Kurafuji (1996)). This contrast has been attributed to where these two are base-generated: while *naze* is base-generated above negation, wh_{accR} is merged below negation and the movement from that position crossing negation is banned because of (feature-based) Relativized Minimality (e.g. Endo (2015)). This explanation, however, is untenable in the light of the data in (2), where negation does not disallow wh_{accR} ((2a) is from Takami (2010)).

- (1) a. Nani-o karera-wa sawai- {dei-ru/*dei-nai} no?
what- they- clamour- - /- -
"Why are/aren't they clamouring?"

Kurafuji (1996)

- b. Naze karera-wa sawai-
Why they-

Proposal Our proposal is that the apparent negative island effects in (1a) results, because the described event is not “surprising” enough with respect to the speaker’s expectation. We formalize this “surprise” connotation as covert wh_{accR} below (the moved) wh_{accR} (=5a), which checks whether its prejacent is less likely than its alternatives (=5b). We also propose that the unlikelihood ordering for this covert wh_{accR} is fed pragmatically by what the speaker considers “normal” (see Beaver and Clark (2008), Greenberg (2017) for flexibility of scales for *even*). Specifically, we propose that wh_{accR} induces a presupposition that p in $[\text{wh}_{\text{accR}}(p)]$ should not be true in the best worlds where the speaker’s norms are satisfied, as in (5c).

- (5) a. LF: $[\text{CP } \text{nani-}o_1 \quad [\text{IP } \text{they}_i \quad [\text{NegP } [\text{VP } t_i \text{ clamour}_F \text{ for } t_1] \quad] \quad] \quad]$
 b. $[[\quad]]_{C,W} = \lambda p_{s,t} . p(w) = 1$, defined if $q \in C$. $[q$