Yosho Miyata University of Massachusetts, Amherst Hanako-TOP banana-shika eat-NEG-PAST. 'Hanako ate only bananas.'

inheritance

b. [CP Hanako-wa [TP banana-shika_{i[iFOC]} [NegP Op [VP...ti...] NEG] T[WFOC] C[UFOC]] The argument XP-shika can establish an Agree relation with the focus probe on T because it has a case feature; however, an adjunct XP-shika cannot establish such an Agree relation because it does not have any case. Therefore, the movement of the argument XP-shika into Spec, TP is obligatory, while there is no need for the adjunct XP-shika to move into Spec, TP. The ungrammaticality of multiple occurrence is due to locality of [uFOC]: a higher element with [iFOC] prevents Agree between the lower one and T. Their analysis predicts that the argument XP-shika cannot be a fragment because it moves to Spec, TP and TPdeletion alw.24 12 o4T3 1 Tf [(f) 6.9 (or)0 0 467.8 (e) 9.2 (s) -26.6 (on) 10.9 (a) 9.2 (l) d.9 (n)] TJ ET Q q (hi) -32.6 (m)