

SENATE COMMITTEE ON UNDERGRADUATE STUDIES

MINUTES

September 14, 2017 ~ 2:30 pm – 4:30 pm
STRAND HALL ROOM 3171

Attendees: S. Spector (acting Chair), A. Clapp, N. Gajdamaschko, P. Gallilee, P. Gamble, J. Hinchliffe (secretary), L. Legris, C. Lowenberger, C. Murray, D. Ng, K. Oldknow, S. Poyntz

Regrets: W. Parkhouse (Chair), M. Lechner, S. Rhodes

1. Approval of the Agenda

The agenda was approved as presented.

2. Approval of the Minutes of August 3, 2017

C. Murray further clarified Motion 5 regarding FNST 101 in that resource issue will be addressed jointly as needed (FASS, EDUC). She stated that as of yet, it has not been addressed.

The minutes were approved as amended.

3. Old Business

a. Course Scheduling Update– NOTICE OF MOTION (SCUS 17-32)

There was again much discussion regarding this agenda item proposing an updated course scheduling system. Associate Registrar. It was also clarified that “notice of motion” was simply a method that Gord Myers used to get attention paid to the issue. It was not a formal motion until SCUS as a group is ready to propose it.

Comments:

- This is a work in progress, significant improvements have been made as demonstrated in the document circulated to SCUS at the September meeting.
- Recognition that the goal is to improve the experience for students, all agree
- Need another session like we had in August

Requests

- revised schedule table/ revised grid
- Anything that wipes out two hours slots will not be workable
- Fridays (and Saturdays?) need to be scheduled
- fourth option
- Once this information is

Motion 2

It was moved by K. Oldknow and seconded by S. Poyntz

“that SCUS approve under delegated authority and send to Senate for information the

5. Other Business

a. Academic Progress Report Project Update

Motion 5

It was moved by C. Murray and seconded by A. Clapp

“that SCUS endorse the APR Phase 2 – project and request for resources.”

CARRIED

J. Hinchliffe spoke to this agenda item. She gave some background and an update on the APR project with Deloitte and a working group which includes C. Murray and a validation dream team of advisors across the campus.

J. Hinchliffe circulated a document related to the APR project – Phase 2 – and the request for resources to support the work. She explained it became evident there is need for resources in order to