## Thesis Evaluation Rubric

	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Unsatisfactory
1) Mastery of Theory and Concepts	Mastery of subject area Previous literature presented with keen insights Discussion is well- motivated	Good understanding of subject area Previous literature presented accurately Some motivation present	Limited understanding of subject area Partially incorrect presentation of previous literature Little motivation	Limited understanding of subject area Little or no discussion of previous literature No motivation
2) Mathematical Sophistication	Highly advanced mathematical concepts Free from mathematical errors	Graduate level mathematical concepts Only insignificant mathematical errors	Mathematical concepts of limited sophistication Some significant errors	Largely trivial mathematical concepts Many mathematical errors
3) Originality and Significance	Highly original results, proofs, and/or discussion Original content highly relevant with transformative potential Strong potential for publication	Contains original results, proofs, and/or discussion Original content relevant for larger community Potential for publication	Limited original contributions Limited outside interest in thesis contributions	No original contributions Thesis irrelevant for larger community
4) Quality of Writing	No grammatical or spelling errors Clear objectives, statements, & theorems Organization contributes to understanding Publication quality Effective use of examples	Few grammatical or spelling errors Mostly clear objectives, statements, & theorems Organization adequate Writing style largely appropriate Mostly effective use of examples	Noticeable grammatical and spelling errors Some clear objectives, statements, & theorems Organization somewhat lacking Style could use revision Few examples	Full of grammatical and spelling errors Objectives, statements, & theorems unclear Poor organization Writing sloppy No examples

## Defense Evaluation Rubric

	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Unsatisfactory
5) Presentation Content	Well adapted to format Full topic mastery Deep topics conveyed in a lucid manner	Clear background and context Fills allotted time Information is accurate Deep topics attempted	Little or no motivation Low level The beginnings of ideas are explored	Main topic not introduced Cluttered with irrelevant facts Trivial or superficial
6) Presentation Organization	Original elements used to transmit information Ideas well sequenced and narrative is clear Compelling opening	Clear connections Logical flow Jargon avoided Topic is related to wider context	Unclear connections Identifiable beginning, middle and end Main concepts hidden behind jargon	No sequencing List of definitions and results No central theme Unclear relations
7) Speaking Skills	Poised, clear, articulate Enthusiastic, confident Audience is engaged Controlled unveiling of material	Full eye contact Rehearsed but lacks some polish Audible from back row	Some eye contact Speaker unaware which slide is next Unrehearsed Use of slang	Too fast No eye contact Unprofessional conduct Too quiet, mumbling
8) Visual Aids	Slides/boardwork is visually appealing Contributes to understanding of topic Well executed	Few errors or typos Easy to follow Competently executed and supports thesis topic	Some errors or typos Wordy with little insight Convey only basic information	No aids used Many grammar errors Confusing or inappropriate Sloppily executed
9) Response to Questioning	Connects to bigger picture Demonstrates expertise on thesis topic	Mostly correct answers with little prompting Clear understanding of subject matter	Many answers incomplete without further prompting Only basic understanding of subject matter	Unable to answer most questions Little understanding of subject matter