## **The Economics of Online Crime**

Tyler Moore, Richard Clayton, and Ross Anderson

he economics of information security has recently become a thriving and fast-moving discipline. This field was kick-started in 2001 by the observa-

One consequence is that while antivirus software previously detected most malware, it now detects only a minority of it. Infected computers may log their users' keystrokes to harvest credentials for electronic banking; they may also be recruited into botnets, which we discuss next. While estimates vary, the general consensus is that approximately 5 percent of computers worldwide are susceptible to malware infection at any given time (House of Lords Science and Technology Committee, 2007); one security provider has estimated that 10 million computers are infected with malware designed to steal online credentials (Panda Security, 2009).

With this new online crime ecosystem has come a new profession: the "botnet herder"—a person who manages a large collection of compromised personal computers (a "botnet") and rents them out to the spammers, phishermen, and other crooks. The computers in the botnet have been infected with malware that lets the botnet herder operate them by remote control, just as if they were robots. Nearly all e-mail spam is now sent by botnets. Many websites used by online criminals are hosted on botnets, ranging from online pharmacies through the fake banks used in phishing scams to the sham companies that "hire" money mules (Moore and Clayton, 2008a). Blackmailers also rent botnets and have threatened to overload bookmakers' websites with botnet traffic just before large sporting events; in 2004, three Russians were arrested after extorting several hundred thousand

distribution of images of child sexual abuse. Some figures estimating costs of online crime are presented at the bottom of Table 1.

Thus far, the world has not coped well with the rapid growth and industrialization of online wickedness. Banks often hide fraud losses, or even blame their customers for fraud; and they hesitate to share information with other banks. Police agencies have also floundered.

Online crime has many similarities with the economics of conventional crime, the study of which has blossomed since Becker's (1968) seminal work. But there are some interesting differences, many of them driven by the global scale of online crime. We find a useful historical analogy two generations ago when criminals started using cars. Suddenly a burglar could break into several houses in a town where the police didn't know him and be home in time for breakfast. It took the police a generation to catch up, using national police networks and fingerprint databases. Online crime, like vehicle-assisted crime, will force big changes, both because it is transnational and also because it consists of a high volume of low-value offenses. Existing mechanisms for international police cooperation are designed for rare serious crimes, such as murder and terrorism, while online crime is petty crime committed on a global and industrial scale. Another difference is that conventional crime is generally committed by marginal members of society, especially by young men suffering multiple deprivation or abusing drugs or alcohol. In contrast, people with comparative advantage at online crime tend to be educated and capable, but they live in societies with poor job prospects and ineffective policing.

This paper begins by looking at the data on online crime. We then examine the collective-action aspects: people who connect infected personal computers to the Internet create negative externalities in that their machines may emit spam, host phishing sites, and distribute illegal content. The Internet's global, distributed architecture leads to security being dominated by the weakest link, which exposes the poor coordination among defenders both public and private. We present empirical evidence of how agile attackers shift across national borders as earlier targets wise up to their tactics, and discuss ways to improve law-enforcement coordination.

Finally, we will examine how defenders' incentives affect the outcomes. An interesting case study is to measure the average time required to remove different types of offending content from the Internet. Phishing sites that directly impersonate technology businesses, such as eBay, are typically knocked out within hours; sites that impersonate banks typically vanish within a few days; but money-laundering websites take far longer. They do not target a single bank, but spread their harm over

### **Accurate Information**

Hard statistics on losses from online crime are hard to come by in most countries. But without them, other figures—whether of vulnerabilities in computer systems, number of botnets, or size of spam flows—lack a grounded connection to technology systems (Greenemeier, 2007). It was also intended to motivate companies to keep personal data secure; and Acquisti, Friedman, and Telang (2006) found a statistically significant negative impact on stock prices following a reported breach. Romanosky, Telang, Acquisti (2008) examined identity theft reports obtained from the Federal Communications Commission from 2002 to 2007. Using time differences in the adoption of state breach disclosure laws, they found a small but statistically significant reduction in fraud rates following statewide law adoption. Breach-disclosure laws also contribute data on security incidents to the public domain. The California law has inspired further laws in at least 34 other states, although their details vary.

Security breach notification could be improved with a central clearinghouse and some standardization of procedures. A clearinghouse would help to ensure that all reported breaches can be located by the press, investors, researchers, and sector regulators. Future U.S. or EU laws should also set minimum standards for notification; some U.S. companies have hidden notifications amongst lengthy marketing material. Finally, notification should include advice on what individuals should do; some notifications by U.S. firms have puzzled or terrified their recipients, rather than helped them with advice on risk reduction.

Some researchers have studied the new criminal markets directly. Researchers from the Internet security firm Team Cymru have long documented online crime (for example, Thomas and Martin, 2006). Franklin, Perrig, Paxon, and Savage (2007) monitored the public chat channels used by online criminals to contact

## Interdependent Security and the Difficulty of Coordination

In many contexts, security depends on the efforts of many interdependent

subnetwork from which they can access decontamination and software patches but not much else.

The market provides incentives for some Internet service providers to take action. An OECD study found that the strongest driver was the cost of customer support—one medium-sized ISP reported 1–2 percent of its total revenue was spent

#### *Figure 1* Scatter Plot of Phishing Site Lifetimes over Time Based on the Domain Targeted

Other researchers also documented how websites hosting malware move from one registrar to the next (Day, Palmen, and Greenstadt, 2008).

Educating registrars is a work in progress. A few large firms perform most registrations (for example, GoDaddy, Network Solutions, register.com), but as with Internet service providers, there are thousands of smaller companies too. The Anti-Phishing Working Group's Internet Policy Committee (2008) has set itself the ambitious goal of educating all registrars about common threats, such as the rock-phish gang, before they are targeted.

Policymakers should also give Internet service providers, especially the big ones, a stronger incentive to stop infected computers attacking other users. For example, in our report for the European Commission, we proposed fixed statutory damages against an ISP that does not act within a fixed time period after being notified of an infected computer on its network (Anderson, Böhme, Clayton, and Moore, 2008) At present, takedown speed varies hugely: the best ISPs remove phishing sites in less than an hour, while others take weeks. Introducing a fixed minimum charge for not dealing with misbehaving websites after a reasonable notice period, say three hours, would provide a useful nudge.

Statutory damages of this general form have been used effectively in the airline industry, where the European Union has introduced them for airlines that deny passengers boarding due to overbooking, cancellations, or excessive delays. A passenger who can't fly can claim a fixed amount (typically 250 euros) from the airline, without having to produce hotel bills or other evidence of actual expenses. The airline may then sue other parties (such as airports or maintenance contractors) to recover these damages where appropriate. Similarly, we envision that Internet service providers would be able to recover damages from other negligent parties. Another of our recommendations is that vendors of network-attached

consumer equipment should have to certify that it is "secure by default," so if it turns out not to be, then ISPs could seek redress without being frustrated by the ubiquitous software liability disclaimers.

Movies and novels sometimes ascribe almost mythical abilities to computer hackers and especially to successful gangs like rock-phish. Yet our analysis and data suggest that the success of some of these gangs is as much strategic as technical: that is, successful attackers are not writing brilliant software, but instead are exploiting basic failings systematically. Ohm (2008) confirms this analysis in a discussion of the "myth of the Superuser"—people ascribe extraordinary capabilities to hackers when the reality revealed by empirical analysis is much more straightforward.

#### Sharing Security Data among Take-Down Firms

Collecting timely, comprehensive data on the latest online vulnerabilities and the currently compromised websites is essential for protecting consumers. However, many information security contractors that take down malicious websites on behalf of their clients keep such data to themselves, arguing that the information benefits their firm's competitive position. We have found that both the firms' customers and consumers would benefit if security contractors shared more data.

Back in the old days of the 1980s and 1990s, antivirus companies did not share virus samples; instead, they boasted of how comprehensive their lists were. Trade magazines published head-to-head comparisons of competing products, testing whether one antivirus company like Dr. Solomon caught more viruses than another one like Norton. In 1993, a series of press releases from the major companies claimed that some new virus was being overlooked by the competition, and it finally became clear that the overall effect of not sharing was damaging the industry. At that year's European Institute for Computer Antivirus Research (EICAR) conference, a meeting of the antivirus researchers led to an agreement that they would share samples of viruses with their competitors. This sharing continues to this day, improving the quality of protection available to consumers and businesses.

The anti-phishing industry has yet to learn this lesson. At its core lie specialist contractors, such as Cyveillance, RSA, and MarkMonitor, who are hired by banks to remove phishing websites and to suspend abusive domain names. These firms compile "feeds" of fishing sites—tivirusshareSio2.9(of)-3shareSio2of

 $t235.8 (nee.7 (.7 (t2T^*[(imprIs0s, eo-367.6 ites CWer3aas)-295.(of)-34.7 (ind 95.(of)-3 sum p-231.5 (antivised of the second state)) and the second state of the se$ 

Moore and Clayton approximate the costs of this situation relative to a more cooperative alternative by examining website lifetimes. The sites only  $T_{\rm A}$  knew about are removed within 17 hours on average, while sites unknown to  $T_{\rm A}$  last

		Sites	Lifetime (hours)	
	Period		mean	median
Phishing				
Free web-hosting	Jan. 2008	240	4.3	0
Compromised web servers	Jan. 2008	105	3.5	0
Rock-phish domains	Jan. 2008	821	70.3	33
Fast-flux domains	Jan. 2008	314	96.1	25.5
Fraudulent websites				
Mule-recruitment websites	Mar. 07-Feb. 08	67	308.2	188
Fast-flux online pharmacies	OctDec. 2007	82	1370.7	1404.5
Child sexual abuse images	JanDec. 2007	2585	719	288

# Table 2 Website Lifetimes by Type of Offending Content

Source: Moore and Clayton (2008a).

price, and service, at which incumbents would also have an advantage. And by increasing the number of sites that can be removed, the service itself would be worth more to the banks.

In our view, most existing take-down companies would benefit from sharing feeds—that is, the gains from the service being worth more would outweigh the loss of competition on comprehensiveness. The only likely losers would be the few companies that specialize primarily in producing feeds. For the banks that are customers of the take-down companies, greater feed sharing offers only benefits.

#### How the Incentives of Defenders Affect Take-Down Speed

Many different types of bad online content—from copyright violations to child sexual abuse images to phishing websites—are subject to take-down requests. Moore and Clayton (2008a) obtained data on the lifetimes of several types of websites, summarized in Table 2.

The lifetimes of questionable websites are heavily influenced by who has an incentive to find and complain about the offending material. Phishing websites are removed fastest: banks are highly motivated to remove any website that impersonates them. By contrast, other illegal activities such as online pharmacies do not appear to be removed at all.

However, most banks focus on removing only those websites that attack them directly. They ignore a key component of the phishing supply chain: mule recruitment. As described earlier, phishermen recruit "money mules," dupes who launder stolen money, typically using Western Union transfers. Because the fraudulent transfers are often reversed, the mule ends up out of pocket rather than the bank, and so banks lack an adequate incentive to crack down on mule recruitment. Their incentive is also dulled by a collective-action problem: it is hard to tell which bank will suffer from any given mule-recruitment campaign.

Thus, even though mule recruitment websites harm banks directly, not one of the banks or take-down companies actively pursues them. Typically, only vigilante groups such as "Artists Against 419" attempt any removal, and even they treat these websites as low priority because they see the mules as complicit in phishing. Finally, regulators may have trained banks to see money laundering as an issue of due diligence, rather than risk reduction; most money-laundering controls are aimed at crimes like drug trafficking in which the banks are not victims, and the incentives there steer them towards minimal and mechanical compliance. Moore and Clayton (2008a) found that mule-recruitment websites lasted 308 hours, far longer than phishing websites that directly impersonate banks (4 to 96 hours). This is an opportunity missed; the most rapid growth in spam late in 2008 has been for mule recruitment, which strongly suggests that mule shortage had become an important bottleneck in phishing operations.

Attack technology also affects take-down speed, but to a lesser extent. Naive crooks host their websites on free services or individual compromised web servers, which are easy for the contractors to take down; more sophisticated criminals such as the rock-phish gang mentioned earlier use evasive techniques such as fast-flux. Moore and Clayton (2007) describe this scheme: websites are hosted dynamically on a botnet, residing for just a few minutes on each computer and moving elsewhere before the removal service can do anything. But our figures show that the lifetime of an offending site is determined far more by the direct incentives that defenders have to take it down than by the attack technology. For example, Moore and Clayton (2008a) found that fast-flux phishing websites are removed in 96 hours, but fast-flux pharmacies are hardly removed at all (lasting nearly two months on average).

#### **Coordination of Law Enforcement**

There are tens of thousands of law enforcement agencies worldwide; many of them, even in developed countries, are fairly uninformed about computer crime. What is specifically illegal varies from one country to another: the leading global legal framework, the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime, has been ratified by the United States but has yet to be ratified by a majority of European Union member states.

Once nations have agreed on what is a crime, police forces will still have little incentive to work together on globalized volume crime. Suppose a phisherman in Russia sends out a million spams to random e-mail addresses. The largest police force in Britain, London's Metropolitan Police, might find ten thousand of these arriving in its area—London accounts for about 1 percent of global Internet use. The Met will be tempted to say "Oh bother, let the FBI deal with it," and focus on local street crime instead. Most local police forces prioritize crime-fighting by asking how many local citizens are victims, how many are perpetrators, and how serious is the damage locally. Using these criteria, it may be that few online attackers will seem worth pursuing, even if in aggregate they are having an enor-

mous effect. In the United Kingdom, for example, there are only two small police units specializing in online fraud (the Dedicated Cheque and Plastic Crime Unit and the Police Central e-crime Unit) and both rely on the banking industry for a lot of their funding.

The barriers to cooperation are further raised by the fact that online crime usually crosses national boundaries. Existing mechanisms for international police cooperation are expensive and slow-designed to catch the occasional fugitive murderer, but not for dealing with millions of frauds at a cost of a few hundred dollars each. The problem is compounded by sensitivities about national sovereignty: each individual case is reviewed by diplomats to ensure it isn't politically sensitive. Our suggestion here is that, following the precedent of SHAEF (the Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Force) in World War II and NATO today, countries should maintain liaison officers at a central command center that decides what tasks to undertake, whereupon the liaison officers relay requests to their own countries' forces. Such a permanent "joint operation" would deal with the glacial speed of current arrangements and the lack of international agreement on what to prioritize. The key is that countries must trust their liaison officers to assess which requests carry no political baggage and can be treated as straightforward police matters. We also need a mechanism to evolve a global strategy on cybercrime priorities. This will require both operational feedback and democratic accountability.

#### **Public versus Private Action**

The one cybercrime issue that really catches the attention of politicians and the popular media is websites that host images of child sexual abuse. Yet, curiously, we found that these websites are removed much more slowly than almost any other type of unlawful content. Their average lifetime of 719 hours is over 150 times that of normal phishing sites, and more than twice that of even the mule-recruitment websites. Why might this be?

During the 1990s, when the Internet came to public attention, policymakers established "child pornography" as the one Internet evil that all governments could agree to ban. In 29 countries, Internet service providers established hotlines to identify and take down offending material. In the United Kingdom, the Internet Watch Foundation (IWF) does this job and claims to remove child sexual abuse images hosted in Britain within 48 hours; only 0.2 percent of such sites are now hosted in the United Kingdom (Internet Watch Foundation, 2006). When the websites are hosted in other countries, the IWF notifies a local hotline or law enforcement agency, but then takes no further action.

Hotline policies and effectiveness vary and few, if any, are as effective as the Internet Watch Foundation. The U.S CyberTipline operated by the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (NCMEC) states that they issue take-down notices to Internet service providers "when appropriate"; however, through October 2008, NCMEC apparently only issued notices to the subset of ISPs that were actually members. A new U.S. law enacted in October 2008, the Protect Our Children Act, may fix this particular problem by making it compulsory for all ISPs to register with NCMEC. Law enforcement responses also vary. Typically, reports are passed to a national agency, which must then pass the information to a local jurisdiction, which then contacts the responsible ISP. Law enforcement budgets are always tight, and police forces will vary in their efforts in challenging such websites depending on how salient the issue is at that time in local politics.

Almost all other types of unlawful online material are dealt with on an international basis, and borders are essentially immaterial to a capable, motivated, private-sector firm seeking to have content taken down. However, the police have been given a monopoly on dealing with child sexual abuse images in many jurisdictions. In the United Kingdom, for example, simple possession of such material is a strict-liability criminal offense, effectively preventing the private sector from helping. (A company seeking to disable such material would likely possess it, in at least an on-screen image, at some stage of a takedown process.) Because the police have sole authority to pursue this material, jurisdiction becomes a significant stumbling block, for the police do not operate across national (or sometimes state or county) borders. The Internet Watch Foundation told us that they would be "treading on other people's toes" if they contacted Internet service providers outside the United Kingdom and that they "are not permitted or authorized to issue notices to take down content to anyone outside the UK." In contrast, with other kinds of online crime, banks, take-down companies, and even vigilantes show great flexibility in their willingness to pursue distant materials.

Police forces do however have a role in combating online crime. For example, we have noticed significant recent consolidation within the botnet and spam industries; as we noted, the takedown of McColo reduced spam worldwide by 70 percent when it broke the control that six large herders had over their botnets. In our view, police resources would best be concentrated on busting these large gangs, and the FBI with "Operation Bot Roast" is already moving in this direction. Ongoing tasks such as website take-down are better left to appropriately incentivized private contractors.

#### **Concluding Remarks**

Since about 2004, online crime has become organized and industrialized like no other crime, with the possible exception of the drugs trade. Many of the problems that banks and police forces face in controlling it already exist in traditional law enforcement but are made more acute online by factors ranging from network externalities to global scale. Unfortunately, crime looks set to be a citizens, service providers, and others. We have presented the results of a number of recent research efforts that together explain how the online crime industry works, why current enforcement efforts are feeble, and how they could be improved.

With previous technology-driven crime innovations, from credit card fraud to the use of getaway cars in bank robbery, it took some time to work out the optimal combination of public and private security resources. Our analysis in this paper suggests that significant improvements are possible in the way we deal with online fraud. Criminal networks do have particular vulnerabilities—such as their money laundering operations. However, individual banks don't target money launderers because launderers attack the banking system as a whole, not any individual bank. Perhaps the banks' trade associations should target the laundrymen. Banks also fail to get their security contractors to share data on attacks where this could help them directly. This collective action problem is best dealt with by private-sector information sharing, as it was 15 years ago in the world of computer viruses. Finally, we suggest that the police should concentrate their efforts on the big phishing gangs.

To control online crime better, we need to understand it better. The key to this understanding is not so much technology, but gaining an economic perspective of the incentives faced by the different players.

■ The authors are grateful to Allan Friedman, Steven Murdoch, and Andrew Odlyzko, who read this paper in draft and made helpful comments.

#### References

Acquisti, Alessandro, Allan Friedman, and Rahul Telang. 2006. "Is There a Cost to Privacy Breaches? An Event Study." Paper presented at the International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS), Milwaukee, WI.

**Akerlof, George A.** 1970. "The Market for 'Lemons': Quality Uncertainty and the Market Mechanism." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3): 488–500.

**Anderson, Ross.** 2001. "Why Information Security is Hard—An Economic Perspective." *Proceedings of the* 17<sup>th</sup> Annual Computer Security Applications Conference, 358–65. IEEE Computer Society.

Anderson, Ross, Rainer Böhme, Richard Clay-

ton, and Tyler Moore. 2008. "Security Economics and the Internal Market." European Network and Information Security Agency. http://www. enisa.europa.eu/doc/pdf/report\_sec\_econ\_&\_ int\_mark\_20080131.pdf.

Anderson, Ross, and Tyler Moore. 2006. "The Economics of Information Security." *Science*,

Fall." Press release, March 14. http://www.apacs. org.uk/media\_centre/press/07\_14\_03.html.

**APACS (Association for Payment Clearing Services).** 2008. "APACS Announces Latest Fraud Figures" Press release, September 25. http://www.apacs.org.uk/APACSannounceslatestfraudfigures.htm.

**Becker, Gary.** 1968. "Crime and Punishment: An Economic Approach." *The Journal of Political Economy*, 76(2): 169–217.

**California State Senate.** 2002. "Assembly Bill No. 700." http://info.sen.ca.gov/pub/01-02/ bill/asm/ab\_0651-0700/ab\_700\_bill\_20020929\_ chaptered.pdf.

**Camp, L. Jean, and Catherine D. Wolfram.** 2004. "Pricing Security: A Market in Vulnerabilities." In *Economics of Information Security*, Vol. 12, *Advances in Information Security*, ed. L. Jean Camp and Stephen Lewis, 17–34. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

**Computer Economics.** 2007. "Malware Report: The Economic Impact of Viruses, Spyware, Adware, Botnets, and other Malicious Code." http://www.computereconomics.com/page.cfm?name=Malware%20Report.

Day, Oliver, Brandon Palmen, and Rachel Greenstadt. 2008. "Reinterpreting the Disclosure Debate for Web Infections." In *Managing Information Risk and the Economics of Security*, ed. M. Eric Johnson, 179–197. New York: Springer.  $T \ e \ Ec$ . 2007. "A Walk on the Dark

Side." August 30. Franklin, James, Adrian Perrig, Vern Paxon,

and Stefan Savage. 2007. "An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Internet Miscreants." *Proceedings of ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security (CCS)*, 375–388. ACM Press.

**Gartner.** 2006. "Gartner Says Number of Phishing E-Mails Sent to U.S. Adults Nearly Doubles in Just Two Years." Press release, November 9. http://www.gartner.com/it/page.jsp?id=498245.

**Greenemeier.** 2007. "T.J. Maxx Parent Company Data Theft Is The Worst Ever." *Information Week*, March 29. http://www.informationweek. com/news/security/showArticle.jhtml?articleID= 198701100.

**Hirshleifer, Jack.** 1983. "From Weakest-link to Best-shot: The Voluntary Provision of Public Goods." *Public Choice*, 41(3): 371–386.

House of Lords Science and Technology Committee. 2007. *Personal Internet Security, 5th Report of* 2006–07. London: The Stationery Office.

Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN). 2008. "Termination of Reg-

istrar EstDomains to Go Ahead." November 12. http://www.icann.org/en/announcements/ announcement-12nov08-en.htm. **Olson, Eric.** 2008. "A Contrary Perspective— Forced Data Sharing Will Decrease Performance and Reduce Protection." October 22. http:// www.cyveillanceblog.com/phishing/a-contraryperspective-%e2%80%93-forced-data-sharing-willdecrease-performance-and-reduce-protection.

**OpenDNS.** 2007. "OpenDNS Shares April 2007 PhishTank Statistics." Press release, May 1. http:// www.opendns.com/about/announcements/14/.

**Panda Security.** 2009. "More than 10 Million Worldwide Were Actively Exposed to Identity Theft in 2008." March 10. http://www.pandasecurity.com/ usa/homeusers/media/press-releases/viewnews? noticia=9602&sitepanda=empresas.

Provos, Niels, Panayiotis Mavrommatis, Moheeb Abu Rajab, and Fabian Monrose. 2008. "All Your iFRAMEs Point to Us." *Proceedings of the*  $17^{th}$  *USENIX Security Symposium*, 1–15. USENIX Association.

**Romanosky, Sasha, Rahul Telang, and Alessandro Acquisti.** 2008. "Do Data Breach Disclosure Laws Reduce Identity Theft?" Paper presented at the 7<sup>th</sup> Workshop on the Economics of Information Security, Hanover, NH. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/paper=1268926.

Schipka, Maksym. 2007. "The Online Shadow

Economy: A Billion Dollar Market for Malware Authors". MessageLabs White Paper. http://www. fstc.org/docs/articles/messaglabs\_online\_shadow\_ economy.pdf.

**Sullivan, Bob.** 2004. "Experts Fret over Online Extortion Attempts." *MSNBC*. November, 10. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6436834/.