

---

## Mating and Breeding Success Decline with Elevation for the Pacific Wren (*Troglodytes pacificus*) in Coastal Mountain Forests

Author(s) :Lesley J. Evans Ogden, Michaela Martin, and Kathy Martin

Source: The Wilson Journal of Ornithology, 124(2):270-276. 2012.

Published By: The Wilson Ornithological Society

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1676/11-186.1>

URL: <http://www.bioone4sisisaoh.nul10.1676/11-186.1>



differ. We expected Pacific Wrens breeding at high elevation to show a shift to a slower lifestyle with lower annual fecundity and higher survival.

TABLE 1. Number of territories, mating status, nesting success, and survival of Pacific Wrens in relation to elevation on Mount Seymour, British Columbia, Canada.

	Low elevation (100–390 m)		High elevation (750–1,270 m)	
	2003	2004	2003	2004
<b>A. Territories and mating status</b>				
Number of territories	21	18	10	11
Number of unmated territorial males	0	0	3	9
Number of banded males	15	15	7	5
Average total nests/male	2.4	4.0	1.3	2.6
Percent successful nests/male	25	24	0	0
<b>B. Annual local survival</b>				
<b>Adults</b>				
Number banded	28		19	
Number returning the following year	9		0	
<b>Juveniles</b>				
Number banded	50		6	
Number returning the following year	1		0	

We estimated the date-of-first-egg-laid by recently failed nests during the 2003 and 2004 backdating for nests discovered after onset of breeding seasons, respectively. Active nests were incubation and, where hatching and/or fledging events were observed, using average periods of 15.88 m. We did not find active nests above and 17 days for incubation and nestling periods, 1,100 m, but did locate one potential nest at respectively (Hejl et al. 2002).

Data Analysis. We used R Version 2.4.0 (R both years. We captured the majority of adults and Development Core Team 2006) for all statistical analyses. Clutch initiation dates were standardized between years by subtracting the yearly median clutch initiation dates. Clutch sizes were not normally distributed and we used a generalized linear model with a Poisson distribution to analyze the response of clutch size to elevation, year, and clutch initiation date. Linear models were used to evaluate the response of clutch initiation (date-of-first-egg-laid), provisioning rates, and incubation and nestling periods to elevation. Log and square-root transformations improved model fit for clutch initiation and elevation. There was a tendency for later clutch initiation at high elevations, but clutch initiation dates did not differ between elevations (ANOVA was 3.26,  $P = 0.08$ ). Nests at low elevations had two peaks in clutch initiation periods, whereas nests at high elevation had only one peak at a similar time as the second peak period at low elevation (Fig. 1A).

Clutch Initiation and Clutch Size. Clutches were initiated over a span of 79 days (40 nests) at low elevation, and over 31 days (6 nests) at high elevation. There was a tendency for later clutch initiation at high elevations, but clutch initiation dates did not differ between elevations (ANOVA was 3.26,  $P = 0.08$ ). Nests at low elevations had two peaks in clutch initiation periods, whereas nests at high elevation had only one peak at a similar time as the second peak period at low elevation (Fig. 1A). Mean clutch size (including first and re-nest attempts and second broods) was 5.5 (SD 1.10,  $n = 40$ ) and did not vary with elevation (Dev Resid,  $F_{1,36} = 0.15$ ,  $P = 0.70$ ), clutch initiation dates (Dev Resid,  $F_{1,35} = 0.411$ ,  $P = 0.52$ ), or with year (Dev Resid,  $F_{1,34} = 0.01$ ,  $P = 0.93$ ; Fig 1B). Fledglings produced per eggs laid declined from an average of 0.5 at 200–400 m to 0 above 600 m. It was not

## RESULTS

We located 22 and 24 active nests (containing eggs or nestlings), 73 and 72 potential nests (empty nests constructed and/or maintained and attended by a territorial male) and one and three

possible to produce a good model for these fecundity data because of our small sample; but the general relationship was non-linear and resembled a threshold with no change up to 400 m and then a decline to zero productivity.

Nest Survival.—The mean daily nest survival across elevations was 0.960 ± 0.018 (n = 546)

Adult Morphology and Mass.—We observed or per capita parental provisioning of nestlings. Thus, we found no evidence for changes in breeding across elevations (Table 2). Wing chord and tarsus were longer for males than females (wing:  $F_{1,88} = 101.26, P = 0.001$ ; tarsus:  $F_{1,89} = 8.95, P = 0.00$ ), but neither trait varied with elevation (wing:  $F_{2,88} = 0.68, P = 0.50$ ; tarsus:  $F_{2,89} = 0.32, P = 0.70$ ). Adult mass varied with both sex of adult and elevation with males being heavier than females ( $F_{1,88} = 9.99, P = 0.00$ ); wrens at middle elevations were lighter than those at high or low elevations ( $F_{2,88} = 3.65, P = 0.03$ ). Adult mass (males and females pooled) was similar between low and high elevation (low:  $9.196 \pm 0.10$  g,  $n = 53$ ; high:  $9.186 \pm 0.10$  g,  $n = 28$ ), but birds at middle elevations were lighter, especially the four females in our sample ( $8.64 \pm 0.16$  g,  $n = 11$ ; Table 2).

Local Adult and Juvenile Annual Survival.—Nine of 28 (33.3%) adults and one of 50 nestlings banded at low elevation in 2003, returned in 2004, respectively. None of the 19 adults and six juveniles banded at high elevation in 2003 was re-observed in 2004 (Table 1B).

## DISCUSSION

Pacific and Winter wrens are reported breeding from sea level to 3,700 m (Heijl et al. 2002), but we found Pacific Wrens on our coastal mountain sites in British Columbia, had lower indices of mating status, fecundity, nestling condition, local survival, and natal and breeding philopatry at high elevations. We found no differences with elevation in clutch size, offspring development times,

pairs at low elevation were fledging their first broods.

Pacific Wrens produced fewer offspring with lower mass at high elevation compared to lower elevation, in contrast to fecundity patterns observed for Dark-eyed Juncos (Bears et al. 2008, 2009), Savannah Sparrows (Martin et al. 2009), and Horned Larks (Camfield et al. 2010). Pacific Wrens did not adjust their per capita provisioning of nestlings with increased elevation to compensate for the more rigorous conditions as observed for high elevation finches and tits in Eurasia

- HEJL, S. J., J. A. HOLMES, AND D. E. KROODSMA. 2002. Winter Wren (*Troglodytes hiemalis*). The birds of North America. Number 623.
- LEE, J. K., Q-S. CHUNG, AND W.-S. LEE. 2011. Altitudinal variation in parental provisioning of nestling Varied Tits (*Poecile varius*). *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 123: 283–288.
- LU, X., T. YU, W. LIANG, AND C. YANG. 2010. Comparative breeding ecology of two White-bellied Redstart populations at different altitudes. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 81:167–175.
- MCLACHLIN, R. G. 1983. Dispersion of the western Winter Wren (*Troglodytes troglodytes*) in the coastal Western Hemlock Forest at the University of British Columbia Research Forest in south western British Columbia. Dissertation. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.
- MARTIN