

Phenology and duration of remigial moult in Surf Scoters (*Melanitta perspicillata*) and White-winged Scoters (*Melanitta fusca*) on the Pacific coast of North America

R.D. Dickson, D. Esler, J.W. Hupp, E.M. Anderson, J.R. Evenson, and J. Barrett

Abstract: By quantifying phenology and duration of remigial moult in Surf Scoters (*Melanitta perspicillata* (L., 1758)) and White-winged Scoters (*Melanitta fusca* (L., 1758)), we tested whether timing of moult is dictated by temporal optima or constraints. Scoters ($n = 3481$) were captured during moult in Alaska, British Columbia, and Washington, and remigial emergence dates were determined. We provide evidence for a pre-emergence interval of 7.3 days that occurs after old primaries are shed and before new ones become visible. All age and sex classes of both scoter species exhibited a wide range of emergence dates (Surf Scoters: 26 June to 22 September; White-winged Scoters: 6 July to 21 September) suggestive of a lack of strong temporal optima for remigial moult. For both species, timing of moult was influenced by site, year, age, and sex. Relative to other waterfowl species, scoters have typical remigial growth rates (Surf Scoters: 3.9 mm-day⁻¹; White-winged Scoters: 4.3 mm-day⁻¹) but a long flightless period (349 days), in part because their relatively high wing-loading requires a greater proportion of feather regrowth to regain flight. Our data suggest that moulting scoters are not under strong selective pressure to complete moult quickly.

Key words: *Melanitta perspicillata*, Surf Scoter, *Melanitta fusca*, White-winged Scoter, sea duck, remigial moult, phenology, duration, primary feather.

Résumé : La quantification de la phénologie et de la durée de la mue des rémiges chez les macreuses à front blanc (*Melanitta perspicillata* (L., 1758)) et les macreuses brunes (*Melanitta fusca* (L., 1758)) nous a permis de vérifier si le moment de la mue est dicté ou non par des optima ou autres contraintes temporels. Des macreuses (n = 3481) ont été capturées durant la mue en Alaska, en Colombie-Britannique et dans l'état de Washington, et les dates d'apparition des rémiges ont été déterminées. Nous présentons des données indiquant un intervalle de 7,3 jours précédant cette apparition visible après la perte des vieilles ailes primaires et avant que les nouvelles ne deviennent visibles. Toutes les classes d'âge et de sexe des deux espèces de macreuses présentent une large fourchette de dates d'apparition (macreuse à front blanc : du 26 juin au 22 septembre; macreuse brune : du 6 juillet au 21 septembre), ce qui laisse penser qu'il n'y a pas de forts optima temporels de mue des rémiges. Pour les deux espèces, le moment de la mue était influencé par le site, l'âge et le sexe. Comparativement à d'autres espèces de sauvagines, les macreuses présentent des taux de croissance des rémiges typiques (macreuse

Introduction

Remigial moult is a distinct phase in the annual cycle of

scoters south of Alaska, with upwards of 20 000 scoters (E.M. Anderson and J.R. Evenson, unpublished data).

To capture scoters, we used a floating gill-net method, adapted from a submerged mist-net technique (Breault and

evaluate factors related to variation in remigial emergence dates. Data were analyzed separately for each species, using the same candidate model set. Explanatory variables included cohort (a combination of sex and age class), site (southeast Alaska versus Salish Sea), and year (2008 versus 2009). The four cohorts were female adults (FASY), female subadults (FSY), male adults (MASY), and male subadults (MSY). Each candidate model set included all additive combinations of the main effects and two-way interactions, as well as a null model (Tables 2 and 3). To run regression models, we used the `lm` function in R (R Development Core Team 2011).

We employed an information-theoretic approach to model selection to evaluate the candidate model sets (Burnham and Anderson 2002). Akaike information criterion corrected for small sample sizes (AIC_c) was calculated for each candidate model. Candidate models were ranked by their AIC_c values, calculated as the difference between the AIC_c of each model and that of the best-supported model in the candidate set. The relative support for each model in the candidate set was determined by its Akaike weight (w_i), which is a normalized measure of the likelihood of a given model relative to the likelihood of all other models in the candidate set. Parameter likelihood values and weighted parameter estimates based on all candidate models were used in multi-model inference (Burnham and Anderson 2002) to assess the importance of individual variables in the candidate models.

To investigate interannual variation in timing of moult for individuals, we used the following model set: $\text{cm}(\text{year}) \sim \text{sex} + \text{age} + \text{site} + \text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age} + \text{sex}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site} + \text{age}:\text{year} + \text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year}$

We used the following model set: $\text{cm}(\text{year}) \sim \text{sex} + \text{age} + \text{site} + \text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age} + \text{sex}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site} + \text{age}:\text{year} + \text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year}$

female subadults, we used the following model set: $\text{cm}(\text{year}) \sim \text{sex} + \text{age} + \text{site} + \text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age} + \text{sex}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site} + \text{age}:\text{year} + \text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year}$

female subadults, we used the following model set: $\text{cm}(\text{year}) \sim \text{sex} + \text{age} + \text{site} + \text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age} + \text{sex}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site} + \text{age}:\text{year} + \text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year}$

female subadults, we used the following model set: $\text{cm}(\text{year}) \sim \text{sex} + \text{age} + \text{site} + \text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age} + \text{sex}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site} + \text{age}:\text{year} + \text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year} + \text{sex}:\text{age}:\text{site}:\text{year}$

Table 2. Multiple linear regression model results assessing variation in 9th primary feather emergence dates in Surf Scoter (*Melanitta perspicillata*) in southeast Alaska and the Salish Sea.

Model	k	ΔAIC_c	w_i
Cohort ^f + site ^b + year ^c + cohort × site + cohort × year + site × year	14	0.0	0.99
Cohort + site + year + cohort × year + site × year	11	8.4	0.01
Cohort + site + year + cohort × site + site × year	11	22.9	0.00
Cohort + site + year + site × year	8	31.7	0.00
Cohort + site + year + cohort × year	10	53.1	0.00
Cohort + site + year + cohort × site + cohort × year	13	54.3	0.00
Cohort + site + year	7	101.5	0.00
Cohort + site + year + cohort × site	10	104.1	0.00
Cohort + site	6	109.4	0.00
Cohort + site + cohort × site	9	111.2	0.00
Cohort + year + cohort × year	9	174.3	0.00
Cohort + year	6	231.1	0.00
Cohort	5	242.2	0.00
Site + year + site × year	5	2091.9	0.00
Site + year	4	2215.4	0.00
Site	3	2235.8	0.00
Year	3	2515.9	0.00
Null	2	2551.0	0.00

Note: The number of parameters (k) includes +1 for intercept and +1 for model variance estimated for each model.

^aCohort is either femaleASY, femaleSY, maleASY, or maleSY, where ASY is after second year and SY is second year.

^bSite is either southeast Alaska or Salish Sea.

^cYear is either 2008 or 2009.

Table 3. Multiple linear regression model results assessing variation in 9th primary feather emergence dates in White-winged Scoter (*Melanitta fusca*) in southeast Alaska and the Salish Sea.

Model	k	ΔAIC_c	w_i
Cohort ^f + site ^b + year ^c + cohort × year + site × year	11	0.0	0.46
Cohort + site + year + cohort × year	10	0.3	0.40
Cohort + site + year + cohort × site + cohort × year + site × year	14	3.8	0.07
Cohort + site + year + cohort × site + cohort × year	13	3.8	0.07
Cohort + site + year	7	17.1	0.00
Cohort + site + year + cohort × site	10	17.7	0.00
Cohort + site + year + site × year	8	18.8	0.00
Cohort + site + year + cohort × site + site × year	11	19.5	0.00
Cohort + site	6	31.9	0.00
Cohort + site + cohort × site	9	32.2	0.00
Cohort + year + cohort × year	9	98.5	0.00
Cohort + year	6	109.9	0.00
Cohort	5	141.7	0.00
Site + year	4	258.2	0.00
Site + year + site × year	5	260.3	0.00
Site	3	276.1	0.00
Year	3	388.8	0.00
Null	2	431.1	0.00

Note: The number of parameters (k) includes +1 for intercept and +1 for model variance estimated for each model.

^aCohort is either femaleASY, femaleSY, maleASY, or maleSY, where ASY is after second year and SY is second year.

^bSite is either southeast Alaska or Salish Sea.

^cYear is either 2008 or 2009.

(n = 9) and 4.7 ± 0.1 mm-day⁻¹ for White-winged Scoters
(n = 8). For captive scoters at Patuxent Wildlife Research

primaries to the maximum length observed for captured moulting individuals. The total duration of the 9th primary growth period, including the pre-emergence interval, was calculated using the estimated feather growth rates and the mean final length of 9th primary feathers (measured on winter-captured scoters). The time required to complete growth of the 9th primaries was 45.6 and 48.2 days for female and male Surf Scoters, respectively, and 47.2 and 49.8 days for

which scoters undergo moult on the Pacific coast. The duration of the flightless period is long relative to other waterfowl, evidence that scoters are not under strong pressure to complete moult rapidly. The earliest remigial emergence dates were in late June (for SY and ASY male Surf Scoters) and the latest emergence dates were 21

row's Goldeneye (*Bucephala islandica* (Gmelin, 1789)) arrived at moulting areas over a month before shedding primary feathers (Robert et al. 2002).

Remigial moult and migration

Salomonsen (1968) suggested that adult female waterfowl moulting with males and subadults are likely nonbreeders (in that year). However, our data suggest that many adult females moulting on the coast could have spent the summer on breeding areas, and either did not successfully nest or may have

Black Brant (*Branta bernicla nigricans* (Lawrence, 1846)): Taylor 1995; Barrow's Goldeneye: Hogan 2012). Generally, we observed a wider range of emergence dates and later emergence dates in the Salish Sea than in southeast Alaska. It could be that individuals migrating from breeding areas to the coast later in the season are more likely to go to southern moulting areas or that individuals moulting in the Salish Sea spend a longer period on the coast before beginning moult.

Feather growth rates

Our estimates of scoter primary feather growth rates are similar to those obtained for adults of other duck species (4.2-5.2 mm·day⁻¹) and fall within the typical range of 2% - 3% daily change in length, relative to final primary length (Hohman et al. 1992). However, they are higher than values

J. Reed, V. Richard, B. Uher-Koch, C. VanStratt, and J. Whissel. In British Columbia and Washington, fieldwork was carried out by S. Quinlan, D. Nysewander, B. Murphie, and T. Cyra, with help from dozens of volunteers. A. Schouten of Dry Creek Waterfowl made measurements of captive Scoters possible. This work was supported financially and logistically by the Sea Duck Joint Venture, Environment Canada, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Canada Graduate Scholarship to R.D.D.), United States Geological Survey Alaska Science Center, Ducks Unlimited Canada (fellowship to R.D.D.), Simon Fraser Univer-

- statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from <http://www.r-project.org/>.
- Regehr, H.M. 2011. Movement rates and distances of wintering Harlequin Ducks: implications for population structure. *Waterbirds*, 34(1): 19-31. doi:10.1675/063.034.0103.
- Robert, M., Benoit, R., and Savard, J.-P.L. 2002. Relationship among breeding, molting, and wintering areas of male Batsow Goldeneyes *Bucephala islandica* in eastern North America. *Auk*, 119(3): 676-684. doi:10.1642/0004-8038(2002)119[0676:RABMAW]2.0.CO;2.
- Robertson, G., and Cooke, F. 1999. Winter philopatry in migratory waterfowl. *Auk*, 116(1): 20-34.
- Rohwer, S., Ricklefs, R.E., Rohwer, V.G., and Copple, M.M. 2009. Allometry of the duration of flight feather molt in birds. *PLoS Biol.* 7(6): e1000132. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000132. PMID: 19529759.
- Safine, D.E. 2005. Breeding ecology of White-winged Scoters on the Yukon Flats, Alaska. M.Sc. thesis, Department of Biology and Wildlife, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks.
- Salomonsen, F. 1968. The moult migration. *Wildfowl*, 5-24.
- Savard, J.-P.L., Bordage, D., and Reed, A. 1998. Surf Scoter (*Melanitta perspicillata*). In *The birds of North America*. No. 363. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, N.Y.
- Savard, J.-P.L., Reed, A., and Lesage, L. 2007. Chronology of breeding and molt migration in Surf Scoter (*Melanitta perspicillata*). *Waterbirds*, 30(2): 223-229. doi:10.1675/1524-4695